AN ESSAY ON CHRIST, REVELATION, AND SACRED ORDER

WHEN SACRED THINGS BECOME LIGHT

Casualness, Culture Drift, and the Loss of the Lord's Voice

> *"There is a particular kind of spiritual danger that does not announce itself as rebellion."*

2026

Introduction: The Question We Stop Asking

There is a particular kind of spiritual danger that does not announce itself as rebellion. It does not usually begin with open contempt for God, prophets, priesthood, covenants, or scripture. It begins more quietly. Sacred things are handled as though they are ordinary. Holy work is organized as though it is merely administrative. Stewardship over souls is treated as a task to be assigned, tracked, and moved along. The language of revelation remains, but the process that seeks revelation becomes thin.

In that condition, leaders and members may still speak often of the Lord's work. They may still pray at the beginning of meetings, sustain callings, make assignments, quote prophets, and refer to ministering, councils, covenants, and keys. But the real governing question can slowly disappear: What is the Lord's will?

When that question disappears, justification may not even be necessary. A people do not always need to ask, 'Can we make this sound acceptable?' Once spiritual sensitivity has been dulled, they may simply stop feeling the distance between what the Lord requires and what they are doing. The inner instrument meant to detect covenant dissonance has gone quiet.

This essay is not an accusation of malice. Good men and women can drift. Sincere leaders can handle sacred things lightly. Faithful people can confuse activity with revelation, institutional momentum with divine direction, and religious vocabulary with spiritual power. One need not be malicious in handling the sacred things of God in order to go awry of His purposes among His children.

Thesis: Repeated casualness in sacred stewardship can become culture. Once it becomes culture, the work can begin, in some aspects, to function as the work of men, and those men may lose part of their ability to discern between the voice of the Lord and the voice of their own preference, habit, fear, or momentum.

Treating Sacred Things Lightly

The Lord's warning is plain: 'Trifle not with sacred things' (Doctrine and Covenants 6:12). That warning is not limited to obvious profanity. It reaches any condition in which holy things are made light, common, casual, decorative, performative, or merely procedural. Doctrine and Covenants 63 deepens the warning by teaching that sacred things are to be spoken and handled with care and that the Lord is not mocked in the last days (Doctrine and Covenants 63:58-64). Sacred things may be treated lightly by mockery, but they may also be treated lightly by negligence, haste, presumption, vanity, fear, sentimentality, or bureaucracy.

A covenant people can retain the form of sacred things while losing their weight. Ordinances can become events. Councils can become scheduling meetings. Ministering can become lists. Youth work can become programming. Callings can become staffing. Worship can become production. Stewardship can become management. None of those words is evil in itself. Organization matters. Calendars matter.

Assignments matter. But when organization replaces revelation rather than serving it, the holy thing has been reduced.

The danger is subtle precisely because the institution can continue functioning. Meetings still happen. Lessons are still taught. Assignments are still made. Reports are still given. The visible machine continues to move. But the question is whether the movement is being governed by revelation, by covenant seriousness, and by the Lord's revealed order, or whether it is being governed by what men think, want, fear, prefer, or find convenient.

The sacred is not protected merely because it is located inside the Church. The Book of Mormon repeatedly shows that covenant people can be in possession of sacred things while drifting from the heart of God. The presence of scripture, temples, priesthood, callings, and ordinances does not automatically preserve spiritual sensitivity. In fact, proximity to sacred things can become spiritually dangerous when familiarity replaces reverence.

Revelation as Source, Not Stamp

One of the most serious inversions in religious administration occurs when revelation is treated as a veto rather than a source. The pattern becomes: we plan, we invite, we assign, we organize, and then we assume the Lord approves unless He stops us. That may sound practical, but it is not the revealed order for sacred stewardship.

The Lord's pattern is not passive divine interruption. He teaches His servants to study matters out, ask, seek confirmation, counsel together, and remain correctable. Doctrine and Covenants 9 does not bless the assumption that a decision is right merely because it seems good; it requires the servant to study the matter out and then ask whether it is right (Doctrine and Covenants 9:79). Doctrine and Covenants 50 likewise shows the Lord reasoning with His servants and teaching them to discern by the Spirit of truth what is of God (Doctrine and Covenants 50:10-24). The Handbook, scripture, prophetic instruction, prayer, fasting, counsel, and discernment are not ornamental additions to decisions already made. They are part of the way the decision is to be received and refined.

This matters because silence from heaven is not automatically endorsement. Sometimes silence is space for agency. Sometimes it is divine patience. Sometimes it is the Lord permitting people to learn through consequences. Sometimes it is simply the result of not asking with real intent. A leader can move forward sincerely and still never have truly placed the matter before the Lord.

When revelation is actually sought, the assignment carries weight because the leader knows, in some measure, that it did not originate merely with him. That changes the way it is given. It changes the tone. It changes the handoff. It changes follow-up. It changes correction. It changes accountability. The person receiving the assignment can feel that a stewardship has been entrusted, not that a slot has been filled.

But when the process begins with preference, convenience, avoidance, personality, tradition, or administrative habit, and then receives religious language afterward, the assignment naturally feels lighter. At some level, people can sense when a thing has been spiritually received and when it has simply been religiously processed.

Ministering as a Case Study

Ministering is one of the clearest examples of this problem because it concerns souls directly. These are not merely households on a spreadsheet. They are children of God, members of a covenant community, and souls who are to be remembered, nourished, watched over, strengthened, and kept in the right way (Moroni 6:4). Ministering is one organized expression of baptismal covenant, priesthood watchcare, Relief Society charity, and the Lord's command to love one another. It belongs naturally to the covenant described in Mosiah 18, where Saints are willing to bear one another's burdens, mourn with those that mourn, comfort those who stand in need of comfort, and stand as witnesses of God (Mosiah 18:8-10).

The change from Home Teaching and Visiting Teaching to Ministering was not a change from obligation to vagueness. It was announced as a higher and holier way. But where the doctrine was not carefully taught, many members seem to have interpreted the change as less structure, less expectation, less accountability, and less need for personal presence. That was not the prophetic aim. It was a cultural misreading.

When leaders simply hand an assignment to a companionship, or communicate it poorly, or fail to inform the family, or never help either side understand the covenant weight of the relationship, they may unintentionally teach that ministering is light. Again, this need not be malicious. It may be habit. It may be overload. It may be uncertainty. But the consequence remains: a sacred stewardship is flattened into information transfer.

By contrast, when ministering assignments are made and communicated with spiritual seriousness, the culture changes. The companionship understands that they have been asked to participate in watchcare. The family understands that they have not been forgotten. The quorum or Relief Society presidency understands that follow-up is not surveillance but stewardship. The ward begins to expect meaningful care, not because someone is chasing statistics, but because souls matter.

The difference is not merely administrative. It is theological. A paper can communicate a name. A leader, acting under keys and seeking revelation, can communicate a stewardship.

The Loss of the Holy Question

The deepest result of treating sacred things lightly is not merely that programs become less effective. The deeper result is that a covenant people lose spiritual sensitivity while still believing they are safe because they remain near sacred things. They still have the language. They still have the meetings. They still have the titles. They still have the forms. But they may lose the tremble.

Once spiritual sensitivity is dulled, the first question does not merely receive a poor answer. The first question disappears. The soul stops asking, 'What does the Lord require?' And once that question disappears, almost anything can continue under the appearance of righteousness.

Neglect can become 'respecting agency.' Avoidance can become 'being Christlike.' Cowardice can become 'keeping the peace.' Casualness can become 'not being rigid.' Disobedience can become 'following the Spirit.' Silence can become 'sustaining leaders.' Indifference can become 'not judging.' Lowered expectations can become 'grace.'

This is how a people can drift while still speaking the dialect of faith. The loss of sensitivity does not usually feel like rebellion from the inside. It often feels like reasonableness, balance, maturity, nuance, or not making a big deal of things. But if that reasonableness consistently lightens the demands of covenant, avoids sacrifice, resists correction, and protects comfort, it is not wisdom. It is spiritual anesthesia.

The Lord's question presses differently. It asks: What have I required? What have I already revealed? What have I entrusted? Whose children are these? What will you answer for? What is being protected, My work or your peace?

Culture Drift

Casualness repeated over time becomes culture. At first, a sacred thing is handled lightly. Then the light handling becomes normal. Then the normal pattern becomes expectation. Then expectation becomes self-justifying. Eventually, anyone who asks whether the pattern is the Lord's way may begin to seem excessive, disruptive, negative, or out of step.

This is one of the ways a covenant community can be deceived. The elect are not always deceived by being persuaded to openly hate prophets or scripture. More often, they receive true prophetic language and then filter it through convenience, fatigue, fear, institutional habit, social pressure, or the philosophies of men. The prophet says, 'higher and holier,' and the culture hears, 'less required.' The Lord says, 'counsel together,' and the culture hears, 'hold a meeting.' The Handbook gives order, and the culture treats it as a suggestion unless inconvenient. The Savior's antidote is not merely hearing sacred words but treasuring them up so that they remain powerful enough to interrupt and correct us (Joseph Smith-Matthew 1:37).

Once culture has drifted, the culture itself begins to feel like revelation. Men may mistake familiarity for inspiration, preference for prompting, efficiency for order, and agreement among themselves for approval from God. They may still be good men. They may still love the Lord. But they may have trained themselves to hear their own religious momentum as though it were the voice of heaven.

This is why the phrase 'in part' is important. The argument is not that the Lord has abandoned His Church, or that leaders receive no revelation, or that every local practice is merely human. The

argument is that men can lose portions of sensitivity. They can be inspired in some matters and careless in others. They can receive revelation in one stewardship and presume in another. They can sincerely serve the Lord and still allow culture to govern places where revelation should govern.

That partial loss is still dangerous. A man who holds stewardship does not need to become wholly blind to do real harm. He only needs to become blind in the place where the Lord needed him to see.

Historical Patterns of Light-Minded Sacredness

Scripture gives repeated warning that holy things must be handled in the Lord's way. Eli's sons did not merely behave badly in private. Their conduct made people abhor the offering of the Lord (1 Samuel 2:12-17). When priestly men handle priestly things lightly, the people learn, often without words, that the sacred is not as sacred as claimed.

Uzzah is a hard example because his action can appear well-intended. He steadied the ark when the oxen shook it (2 Samuel 6:6-7). Yet the account stands as a severe witness that good intent does not erase sacred order. The ark was not merely an object. It was holy, and the Lord had given a way for it to be handled. The lesson is not that God despises sincerity. The lesson is that sincerity is not a substitute for obedience to revealed order.

Belshazzar's feast shows another form of profanation. The vessels from the temple were used casually in a setting of pride, pleasure, and false worship (Daniel 5). The kingdom fell that night. Sacred objects were treated as symbols that could be possessed without submission to the God who made them sacred.

The Book of Mormon gives the larger cultural pattern. After the visitation of Christ, the people of 4 Nephi experienced unity, covenant peace, and consecrated joy. But over time pride returned. Distinctions returned. Possessions became central. Churches were built up unto themselves. The sacred unity of the people dissolved (4 Nephi 1:24-49). The fall did not begin with one dramatic rejection of Christ. It unfolded through drift.

In each pattern, the sacred does not become powerless because God is weak. It becomes unavailable to the people because they no longer receive it on His terms. The form may remain for a season, but power withdraws.

The Handbook, Prayer, Fasting, and Discernment

The Lord has not left His Church without order. The Handbook is not a substitute for revelation, but neither is revelation a license to disregard order. The Handbook helps discipline leaders against confusing their first impulse with the mind of the Lord. It gathers governing principles, priesthood boundaries, stewardship relationships, and procedural safeguards so that leaders do not have to reinvent the Lord's order through personality and preference.

To study the Handbook before acting is not bureaucratic faithlessness. It is humility. It asks, 'Has the Lord already spoken about this?' That question protects leaders from presumption. It protects members from arbitrary leadership. It protects sacred things from being reshaped by local enthusiasm, fear, tradition, or charisma.

Prayer then becomes more than the opening and closing ornament of administration. It becomes the place where a leader submits his will. Fasting becomes more than a ritual intensifier. It becomes a bodily confession that the work is not ours. Counseling becomes more than collecting opinions. It becomes a disciplined search for truth under keys and within revealed order. Discernment becomes more than a feeling that our plan is good. It becomes the capacity to distinguish the Lord's voice from our own, to receive light from the Spirit of truth, and to recognize when what is being built is of God rather than merely of men (Doctrine and Covenants 50:17-24).

The order matters. Study without prayer can become legalism. Prayer without study can become presumption. Fasting without humility can become spiritual theater. Counseling without discernment can become group preference. Discernment without submission can become selfwill with sacred vocabulary.

The Lord's work requires the whole pattern. It requires revealed order, spiritual seeking, honest counsel, correction, patience, and the willingness to abandon a preferred plan when the Lord's will becomes clear.

The Work of Men Inside the Work of God

There is a hard but necessary distinction: the Church can be the Lord's Church while some work done inside it becomes, in some aspects, the work of men. This does not negate keys, covenants, priesthood, ordinances, or prophetic authority. It simply acknowledges what scripture already teaches: men can act inside holy structures without always being governed by holiness.

The name of the Lord does not sanctify every human preference spoken beneath it. A calling does not automatically make every decision inspired. A council does not become revelation merely because several good people agree. A program does not become the Lord's will merely because it is successful, popular, efficient, or emotionally moving.

Doctrine and Covenants 121 is severe on this point. Priesthood authority cannot be exercised merely by virtue of position. Its power is inseparably connected with the powers of heaven and can be maintained only upon principles of righteousness. Persuasion, long-suffering, gentleness, meekness, love unfeigned, kindness, and pure knowledge are not decorative virtues added to priesthood governance; they are the conditions upon which heaven authorizes it (Doctrine and Covenants 121:34-46).

When sacred work becomes the work of men, it often becomes more manageable and less holy. It favors what can be planned over what must be discerned. It favors what can be measured over what

must be watched. It favors what can be presented over what must be repented of. It favors visible activity over invisible consecration.

That kind of work can still produce good. Men can do kind things. Programs can bless people. Activities can build friendships. Lessons can teach truths. But the question remains whether the work is being carried by the Lord's power or merely by human capability, tradition, and momentum.

The difference finally appears in fruit. The Lord's work deepens conversion, increases covenant seriousness, humbles leaders, dignifies souls, invites repentance, strengthens homes, protects the vulnerable, and makes people more able to hear Him. The work of men often produces busyness, branding, flattery, fatigue, avoidance, performance, and the preservation of peace at the expense of truth.

Recovering the Lord's Voice

The remedy is not cynicism. Cynicism is just another form of spiritual dullness. It sees the failures of men but often loses charity, humility, and hope. The remedy is not rebellion against order. The Lord's answer to abused or neglected order is not disorder. The remedy is repentance, reverence, and a return to the revealed pattern.

Leaders must recover the holy question: What is the Lord's will? That question must be asked before plans are made, before assignments are handed out, before councils become performances, before youth are programmed, before ministering is flattened, before sacred ordinances are embellished, before cultural preferences are baptized as revelation.

Members must also recover the question. Those who receive assignments must not wait for leaders to make every stewardship feel sacred. If a soul has been placed within one's care, the disciple must take that seriously before God. Those who receive ministering must not treat covenant watchcare as an intrusion merely because the culture has made it awkward. Zion requires people willing both to give and receive holy care.

The Lord's servants must become correctable again. Correctability is one of the signs of true spiritual sensitivity. A man who cannot be corrected will eventually confuse his own defensiveness for discernment. A council that cannot receive warning will eventually call warning disunity. A culture that cannot repent will eventually mistake comfort for peace.

To recover the Lord's voice, the people must treasure up His word. They must not merely quote it, sloganize it, or use it as background music for their own plans. The Lord's promise is that those who treasure up His word shall not be deceived (Joseph Smith-Matthew 1:37). To treasure the word is to treasure it enough to be interrupted by it. The Saints must let prophetic correction remain correction. They must let scripture judge culture. They must let the Handbook restrain preference. They must let fasting humble appetite. They must let prayer become submission rather than decoration.

Conclusion: The Return of Trembling

The greatest danger in treating sacred things lightly is not that the Church will lack activity. Activity can continue almost indefinitely. The greater danger is that sacred activity can continue after spiritual sensitivity has faded. A people may remain busy near holy things while losing the capacity to feel when the power has withdrawn.

The return must begin with trembling. Not terror of God as though He were cruel, but holy seriousness before Him because He is God, because the work is His, because the souls are His, because the covenants are real, because keys are accountable, because ordinances are sacred, because ministering concerns actual children of God, and because every stewardship will one day be answered for.

To tremble is to remember whose work this is. It is to stop asking first what we want, what we think, what we prefer, what avoids conflict, what will be received well, or what has always been done. It is to ask again, with real intent: What does the Lord require?

When that question returns, assignments change. Councils change. Ministering changes. Youth work changes. Worship changes. Leadership changes. The words may be the same, but the weight returns to them.

Sacred things do not become sacred because men handle them impressively. They are sacred because they belong to God. The obligation of covenant people is not to make them sacred, but to stop making them light.